# Sturm-Hurwitz theorem for Quantum Graphs Joint work with Ram Band (Technion and Potsdam)

Philippe Charron

October 29, 2024

(中) (문) (문) (문) (문)

Let  $f = \sum_{k=m}^{M} a_k \sin(kx)$  on the interval  $[0, \pi]$ . If f has n zeroes on  $(0, \pi)$ , what can we say about the coefficients  $a_k$ , m and M?

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Let  $f = \sum_{k=m}^{M} a_k \sin(kx)$  on the interval  $[0, \pi]$ . If f has n zeroes on  $(0, \pi)$ , what can we say about the coefficients  $a_k$ , m and M?

(partial) Solution: Sturm-Hurwitz theorem

Theorem (Sturm 1836, rediscovered by Hurwitz, 1903) Let  $f = \sum_{k=m}^{M} a_k \sin(kx)$ . Then, f has between m - 1 and M - 1 zeroes in  $(0, \pi)$ .

Let  $f = \sum_{k=m}^{M} a_k \sin(kx)$  on the interval  $[0, \pi]$ . If f has n zeroes on  $(0, \pi)$ , what can we say about the coefficients  $a_k$ , m and M?

(partial) Solution: Sturm-Hurwitz theorem

Theorem (Sturm 1836, rediscovered by Hurwitz, 1903) Let  $f = \sum_{k=m}^{M} a_k \sin(kx)$ . Then, f has between m - 1 and M - 1 zeroes in  $(0, \pi)$ .

This remains true for Sturm-Liouville eigenfunctions with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

$$f = \sum_{k=m}^{M} a_k \sin(kx)$$
 has  $n$  zeroes  $\Rightarrow M \le n+1$   
 $M \ge n+1$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

$$f = \sum_{k=m}^{M} a_k \sin(kx)$$
 has  $n$  zeroes  $\Rightarrow M \le n+1$ 

For bounds on  $a_k$ , see Quantitative projections in the Sturm Oscillation Theorem by S. Steinerberger (2020).

Higher dimensions Courant's theorem (1923): the *n*-th Dirichlet eigenfunction of the Laplacian on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has at most n - 1 nodal domains.

Higher dimensions

Courant's theorem (1923): the *n*-th Dirichlet eigenfunction of the Laplacian on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has at most n-1 nodal domains.

Courant-Herrmann conjecture (stated in Courant-Hilbert!): also true for linear combinations of the first *n* eigenfunctions.

Higher dimensions

Courant's theorem (1923): the *n*-th Dirichlet eigenfunction of the Laplacian on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has at most n-1 nodal domains.

Courant-Herrmann conjecture (stated in Courant-Hilbert!): also true for linear combinations of the first *n* eigenfunctions. VERY FALSE: various counterexamples since the 70's.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Assumptions on  $\Gamma$ :

Connected

Assumptions on  $\Gamma$ :

Connected

Finite number of edges



Assumptions on  $\Gamma$ :

Connected Finite number of edges Each edge has finite length

Assumptions on  $\Gamma$ :

Connected Finite number of edges Each edge has finite length Loops permitted

Assumptions on  $\Gamma$ :

Connected

Finite number of edges

Each edge has finite length

Loops permitted

We consider eigenfunctions of  $H_W = -\Delta + W$ , where W is  $C^1$  and the vertex conditions are

Assumptions on  $\Gamma$ :

Connected

Finite number of edges

Each edge has finite length

Loops permitted

We consider eigenfunctions of  $H_W = -\Delta + W$ , where W is

 $C^1$  and the vertex conditions are

Dirichlet at boundary

Assumptions on  $\Gamma$ :

Connected

Finite number of edges

Each edge has finite length

Loops permitted

We consider eigenfunctions of  $H_W = -\Delta + W$ , where W is  $C^1$  and the vertex conditions are

Dirichlet at boundary

For other vertices, sum of inwards derivatives is zero (Neumann-Kirchhoff)

Assumptions on  $\Gamma$ :

Connected

Finite number of edges

Each edge has finite length

Loops permitted

We consider eigenfunctions of  $H_W = -\Delta + W$ , where W is  $C^1$  and the vertex conditions are

Dirichlet at boundary

For other vertices, sum of inwards derivatives is zero (Neumann-Kirchhoff)

All eigenfunctions of  $H_W$  do not vanish at any inner vertex (callde W-generic).

#### Let N(f) be the number of inner zeroes of f.

## Theorem (Band, C., 2023)

Let  $\Gamma$  be a W-generic graph with first Betti number  $\beta$ . Let  $f_k$  be the eigenfunctions of  $H_W = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + W$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions and Neumann-Kirchhoff continuity conditions on inner vertices. Let  $k_i$  be a strictly increasing sequence and  $F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i f_{k_i}(x)$  where each  $a_i$  is not zero. We have the following bounds:

$$k_1 - 1 - (M - 1) (|V_b| + 2\beta - 2) \le N(F)$$
  
 $N(F) \le k_M - 1 + \beta + (M - 1) (|V_b| + 2\beta - 2)$ .

#### Let N(f) be the number of inner zeroes of f.

## Theorem (Band, C., 2023)

Let  $\Gamma$  be a W-generic graph with first Betti number  $\beta$ . Let  $f_k$  be the eigenfunctions of  $H_W = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + W$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions and Neumann-Kirchhoff continuity conditions on inner vertices. Let  $k_i$  be a strictly increasing sequence and  $F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i f_{k_i}(x)$  where each  $a_i$  is not zero. We have the following bounds:

$$k_1 - 1 - (M - 1)(|V_b| + 2\beta - 2) \le N(F)$$
  
 $N(F) \le k_M - 1 + \beta + (M - 1)(|V_b| + 2\beta - 2).$ 

Upper bound is sharp in general

Consider 
$$g(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i e^{-\lambda_{k_i} y} f_{k_i}(x).$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲目 ▶ ▲目 ▶ ◆□ ▶

Consider 
$$g(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i e^{-\lambda_{k_i} y} f_{k_i}(x).$$

g is a solution to 
$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} - W(x)g$$
.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

Consider 
$$g(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i e^{-\lambda_{k_i} y} f_{k_i}(x).$$

g is a solution to 
$$rac{\partial g}{\partial y}=rac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}-W(x)g.$$

At  $y_0 
ightarrow -\infty$ ,  $g(x,y_0)$  looks like  $f_{k_M}$ 

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Consider 
$$g(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i e^{-\lambda_{k_i} y} f_{k_i}(x).$$

g is a solution to 
$$rac{\partial g}{\partial y} = rac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} - W(x)g$$
.

At  $y_0 
ightarrow -\infty$ ,  $g(x,y_0)$  looks like  $f_{k_M}$ 

At  $y_0 \to +\infty$ ,  $g(x, y_0)$  looks like  $f_{k_1}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Consider 
$$g(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i e^{-\lambda_{k_i} y} f_{k_i}(x).$$

g is a solution to 
$$rac{\partial g}{\partial y} = rac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} - W(x)g$$
 .

At  $y_0 
ightarrow -\infty$ ,  $g(x,y_0)$  looks like  $f_{k_M}$ 

At 
$$y_0 
ightarrow +\infty$$
,  $g(x,y_0)$  looks like  $f_{k_1}$ 

Start at  $y = -\infty$ , look at nodal lines of g

Show that there are no isolated zeroes.

No isolated zeroes  $\Rightarrow$  nodal lines are continuous.

No isolated zeroes  $\Rightarrow$  nodal lines are continuous. No flat part.

No isolated zeroes  $\Rightarrow$  nodal lines are continuous.

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ モ ト ・ モ ト

æ

No flat part.

Behaviours of nodal lines:



No isolated zeroes  $\Rightarrow$  nodal lines are continuous.

イロト イヨト イヨト

э

No flat part.

Behaviours of nodal lines:



No isolated zeroes  $\Rightarrow$  nodal lines are continuous.

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ モ ト ・ モ ト

э

No flat part.

Behaviours of nodal lines:





▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで



Can happen at most M - 1 times on each inner vertex.





Can happen at most M - 1 times on each inner vertex.

Each time it happens, it can create at most deg(v) - 2 new nodal lines (or reduce by that number).

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э



Can happen at most M - 1 times on each inner vertex.

Each time it happens, it can create at most deg(v) - 2 new nodal lines (or reduce by that number).

◆□▶ ◆◎▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □

Known bounds:  $k - 1 \le N(f_k) \le k - 1 + \beta$  (many people)

Leads us to 
$$k_1 - 1 - (M - 1)(\sum_{inner} \deg(v) - 2) \le N(F) \le k_M - 1 + \beta + (M - 1)(\sum_{inner} \deg(v) - 2).$$

 $x_0$  is the only zero of  $f_2 v$  is the vertex of highest multiplicity p is the path between  $x_0$  and v. x' is any point close to v that is not in v



 $x_0$  is the only zero of  $f_2 v$  is the vertex of highest multiplicity p is the path between  $x_0$  and v. x' is any point close to v that is not in v



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Choose a such that  $af_1(x') + f_2(x') = 0$ .

 $x_0$  is the only zero of  $f_2 v$  is the vertex of highest multiplicity p is the path between  $x_0$  and v. x' is any point close to v that is not in v



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Choose a such that  $af_1(x') + f_2(x') = 0$ . Choose t such that  $ae^{-\lambda_1 t} f_1(v) + e^{-\lambda_2 t} f_2(v) = 0$ .

 $x_0$  is the only zero of  $f_2 v$  is the vertex of highest multiplicity p is the path between  $x_0$  and v. x' is any point close to v that is not in v



Choose a such that  $af_1(x') + f_2(x') = 0$ . Choose t such that  $ae^{-\lambda_1 t}f_1(v) + e^{-\lambda_2 t}f_2(v) = 0$ . Then  $f(x) := ae^{-\lambda_1(t+\epsilon)}f_1(x) + e^{-\lambda_2(t+\epsilon)}f_2(x)$  has at least deg(v) - 1 zeroes.

Start with this graph (assume it has s - 1 small edges):



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Start with this graph (assume it has s - 1 small edges):



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Shrink the small edges.

Start with this graph (assume it has s - 1 small edges):



Shrink the small edges.

Take a linear combination of the first M eigenfunctions with M - 1 zeroes on each small edge.

Start with this graph (assume it has s - 1 small edges):



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Shrink the small edges.

Take a linear combination of the first M eigenfunctions with M - 1 zeroes on each small edge.

Upper bound:  $N(F) \le M - 1 + 0 + (M - 1)(s - 2)$ 

Start with this graph (assume it has s - 1 small edges):



Shrink the small edges.

Take a linear combination of the first M eigenfunctions with M - 1 zeroes on each small edge.

Upper bound:  $N(F) \le M - 1 + 0 + (M - 1)(s - 2)$ 

Take a very small perturbation of edge lengths to make it generic.

Different boundary conditions

Different boundary conditions

Weaker assumptions on the potential

Different boundary conditions Weaker assumptions on the potential Weighted graphs.

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

Different boundary conditions

Weaker assumptions on the potential

Weighted graphs.

Bounds on the coefficients  $a_k$  (Steinerberger)

(ロ)、

Different boundary conditions

Weaker assumptions on the potential

Weighted graphs.

Bounds on the coefficients  $a_k$  (Steinerberger)

Better bounds for graphs with interesting topology.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●